UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,829	06/26/2003	Ewa Herbst	286932.124US2	7587
	7590 04/01/200 E/NEW YORK	EXAMINER		
399 PARK AV	ENUE	MORALES, JON ERIC C		
NEW YORK, N	N1 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/608,829	HERBST ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		JON ERIC C. MORALES	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 28 Fe	hruani 2008					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	`	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in con	<i>'</i> —		prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the		·	•				
	praemee arraer =	parte Quayre, 1000 0.2.	., 100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-16,18,22-24 and</u>	<u>26-70</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8,10-16,18,23,</u>	24,27-30,35-51,5	<u>3,57-65 and 67-70</u> is/are reje	ected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,9,52 and 54-56</u> is/a	re objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examine	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 Jun</u>	-		d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that ar	<i>·</i>	_ · · · - ·	•				
-				FR 1 121(d)			
_ '	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>			0()(1)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S			mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 1/2/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,708,066 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

- a. The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.
- 2. An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).
- 3. It would be acceptable for a person, other than a recognized officer, to sign a terminal disclaimer, <u>provided</u> the record for the application includes a statement that the person is empowered to sign terminal disclaimers and/or act on behalf of the organization.

Accordingly, a new terminal disclaimer which includes the above empowerment statement will be considered to be signed by an appropriate official of the assignee. A separately filed paper referencing the previously filed terminal disclaimer and containing a proper empowerment statement would also be acceptable.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 3766

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 23-24, 27-30, 35-51, 53, 57-65, and 67-70 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-6, 8, 9-19, and 21-36 of U. S. Patent No. 6,708,066 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

For double patenting to exist as between the rejected claims and the patent claims, it must be determined that the rejected claim is not patentably distinct from claims 1-6, 8, 10-19 and 21- 36. In order to make this determination, it first must be determined whether there are any differences between the rejected claims and claims 1-6, 8, 10-19 and 21- 36 and, if so, whether those differences render the claims patentably distinct.

The difference between claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 23-24, 27-30, 35-51, 53, 57-65, and 67-70 of the application and claims 1-6, 8, 9-19 and 21-36 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claim includes many more elements and is thus much more specific.

Art Unit: 3766

It is clear that all the elements of 1, 3-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 23-24, 27-30, 35-51, 53, 57-65, and 67-70 of the application are to be found in claims 1-6, 8, 9-19, and 21-36 of the patent. Thus, the invention of claims 1-6, 8, 9-19, and 21-36 of the patent is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 23-24, 27-30, 35-51, 53, 57-65, and 67-70 of the application. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 23-24, 27-30, 35-51, 53, 57-65, and 67-70 of the application are anticipated by claims 1-6, 8, 9-19, and 21-36 of the patent, they are not patentably distinct from claims 1-6, 8, 9-19, and 21-36.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 66 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 7, 9, 52, 54-56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JON ERIC C. MORALES whose telephone number is (571)272-3107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am - 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on 571-272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jon-Eric C. Morales/ Examiner, Art Unit 3766 /Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766 Application/Control Number: 10/608,829 Page 6

Art Unit: 3766

Examiner, Art Unit 3766

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/608,829	HERBST ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JON ERIC C. MORALES	3766		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080324